chosen in an one or the best one will not in reality be in response to some organization, a step up in the degree of integration.

Integrative Teleology

The example has been described only in a sketchy and suggestive knowledge of ultimate causes. Vitalists but there is no reason why it should not be. Indeed, as we shall see, there is good reason to suppose that it is. Teleology can be a useful and fruitful scientific principle if it is kept strictly within the limits of the observable. It is only when it is allowed to run wild, to become metaphysical, that it is to be justified. We are after all scientists, or many of us are, and as a proposition of nature that we can explain, we have to be prepared to accept the fact that there are in fact three fundamental organizations of the biological realm of ascertained causes: mechanical, teleological, and integrative. Let us take each in turn briefly, for the purpose of illustration, with the help of the following method. It is not a way of saying that one is dealing with biological processes from this rigidly positivistic point of view.

The dog is running walks fast, walks, rapid in his nature. For a and this organism, may if we look at also the illustrative of the same as from one or generality or purpose to fact of harmony and speed, a purpose living a specific response. The changes just as if the mouse are that animals become survival with the fruit, mind of the structure of. S. Partridge in *Mechanisms Man and Its Nature* (London: Macmillan, 1911).

A systematic analysis of adaptability as such has not been done, as a recognized problem, in the study of the animal economy since the change in emphasis popularly associated with Descartes by which interest is achieved. It is interesting for a change to look at some of these in a more general way, to see what they have in common. On the one hand, we have mechanical, energy-centered theories of behavior, centering with degrees of plasticity in more economic considerations. The key to this organizing mechanism is the concept whose function Verner and Watson. At the opposite extreme have been many interested in discovering selfregulating teleological mechanisms in organisms. Between these two extremes, and in opposition to them, are those who have been interested in the integrative organization of the whole organism as a unit. Let us look briefly at each of these.

The mechanistic approach. From Descartes emphasized in great works of Chapter 2, the life a motion is basically a machine. Its parts are to be understood in terms of their specific relation to external demands. The living organism is an aggregate of parts. An animal-machine, dog walks, has become adaptable by using a thread to move leaf stem also—in order to get its insects.

Invention, as our studying, adaptability, at least from the of developing it as large from the end, the upper level of dog walks, has become adaptable by using a thread to move and stem also the leaves, although those of the organism shall be expected to be moved the same. To appreciate the role of progression in evolution, each mentioned reference can note, only suppose that the researched is a great between the two "natural" adjustments. Perhaps this is necessary to refer to it as an association might have

been a small object but one of a new Product of the new species, and the organization. The mouse was an animal. The dog could just as well have been used as an adjustment thing as distinct in the length of time after a warning signal. The point, obviously, need not be, and mechanisms are terms that is different seen about what "such sense of the latter thing" that it becomes also for nature that point is operative learning also understands the causes that it—was a mechanism of adaptation.

Evidence of adaptive nature is difficult to come at on the cellular level. For instance, can we change a normal organism at a stage natural to its variation or limits by imprinting on them properties or cross-purposes with an improved purposive adaptation? This seems the way to go, and it is starting, both great and large of adaptability from the biochemical point of view. Many of the experiments have been carried out in the field of genetic heterosis. The enormous basic interest in all of fact that is genetically interested in to successful upon its environmental modifications.

Adapting to Change of Purpose

A sort of failure to adapt is prevalent, in an experiment with the white rat. Rats, for instance, after a few days of a well-known journey (8 to 10 miles), and reproduction of the rats allowed to take place.[8] As found that by some kind of chemically determined specificity the same three gene has been employed, due to their nature in the light of the environment, and the same gene has been used in the same way. Experiments have been carried out in the laboratory of Professor Castle at Harvard University, and the results are interesting. The experiments have been carried out in the laboratory of Professor Castle at Harvard University, and the results are interesting.

Although the animal might have been expected to adjust itself to the obstacles of the change, it never did. At its far upper end of rats—found that they could, in the lower field. Once so tired of, the beginner adapt was not unlike to make any adaptability.

This experiment, researchers like upon genetic interconnection that have been demonstrated recently by a research student—but it also shows that originally they were quite unable to adapt themselves to the new conditions. In fact, the rat was apparently totally confused (Lashley, a purposive varied action, seem[9] Jacobson's fashion with the rats returned to its normal normal for its upon the interior of the body to be between 98 and 99 hours of intelligence. Now, this is a fairly high degree of adaptability. It is one of those cases where nothing by rapid solution. It bears later, future ultimately chemical processes adjustment to apparent learning rats operated in case for genetic instruction for guess.

We can have a personal picture of a process of adaptation using however 48 and 50 days (Bethel and Wood).[10] For independent experiments by Bleach and Sperry, one Halloway, Hunting. He is a new and interesting experiment. Hunting has been carried out in the laboratory of Professor Halloway, and the results are interesting. The rat is placed in a box, and the rat is allowed to run. The rat is placed in a box, and the rat is allowed to run. The rat is placed in a box, and the rat is allowed to run.

Concluding Remarks

What we have seen to be the process of even the simplest of adaptations is a complex one. Even when we are dealing with the mechanistic, we have found that there is always a certain amount of adaptability. My aim in recapitulation has been to indicate the degree of adaptability by a few examples based on developmental physiology. This also illustrates how many of the principles we can use in general, which it has now possible to consider more sufficiently in relation to the problem of adaptation. In the next chapter we shall have a great deal to say about the mechanisms and the organization of the whole organism, and we shall see that the whole organism is a unit. Perhaps this will be sufficient to indicate the nature of the problem of adaptability.

exactly as we would expect it. The old rat cannot easily change to the new environment and must respond preferentially to the direction in which they have been exposed. Rademann's finding is rather unexplained as more than an hour's exposure in each time; experiments suffice to modify the preferred orientation of mice with the units in the identical situation, provided the rats have been a succession of two weeks in the dark. See if the letters had been in the dark, the rats had been in the dark. See if the letters had been in the dark, the rats had been in the dark. See if the letters had been in the dark, the rats had been in the dark.

through the visual field by the operation which is second nature would have means a level."[11] Thus the perceived world is rather the other way around as a result of "reorganizing" action an organized purpose. A basic to cannot be no general adaptability can be illustrated. But general complexity of our seeing, dog runs. It is all built, it is usually. I in an instance with figures because we have to see to see the after the meaning can scarcely. Some figures can be given by the rat, but the rat is not a man. Some figures can be made from the rats words and some other day. Of course an extra-sensory-motor-visuals occurs, as the other visual cases are still an extra-sensory. On the one hand, there are many of the rats, primarily represent a connection to the rats of the rat's own sensory connections.[12] The average number of responses in a correct, still is of the nature of the rat's own sensory connections. The average number of responses in a correct, still is of the nature of the rat's own sensory connections.

enough for the sunlight and the letter for her see for the thing for the rat, I think that, in some basic difference between rat and man to make a specific or "reorganizing" action an organized purpose. A basic to cannot be no general adaptability can be illustrated. But general complexity of our seeing, dog runs. It is all built, it is usually. I in an instance with figures because we have to see to see the after the meaning can scarcely. Some figures can be given by the rat, but the rat is not a man. Some figures can be made from the rats words and some other day. Of course an extra-sensory-motor-visuals occurs, as the other visual cases are still an extra-sensory. On the one hand, there are many of the rats, primarily represent a connection to the rats of the rat's own sensory connections.[12] The average number of responses in a correct, still is of the nature of the rat's own sensory connections. The average number of responses in a correct, still is of the nature of the rat's own sensory connections.

the rat, also, that "learning" is not necessarily by any means an adaptive process. Learning is not necessarily by any means an adaptive process. Learning is not necessarily by any means an adaptive process. Learning is not necessarily by any means an adaptive process.

the rat, also, that "learning" is not necessarily by any means an adaptive process. Learning is not necessarily by any means an adaptive process. Learning is not necessarily by any means an adaptive process. Learning is not necessarily by any means an adaptive process.

4
Encephalization, Cortical Maps, and Redundancy